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Connecticut

- Population - 3,574,097
  - Approximately 817,015 under age 18
- No County Government (169 Town Governments)
- CT Department of Children and Families is a consolidated Children’s Agency with mandates in:
  - Child Welfare
  - Children’s Behavioral Health
  - Juvenile Justice
  - Prevention

Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2010

Drug Use in Connecticut

- In Connecticut during 2006-2007, 7.9% of men and women ages 12 and older reported using illicit drugs in the past month, compared to 8.1% overall in the U.S.
- In Connecticut in 2009, a study of women of childbearing age (18-44 years) revealed that:
  - 18.7% of women of reported smoking, compared to 19.6% of women overall in the U.S.
  - 19.7% of women reported binge drinking in the past month, compared to 15.7% overall in the U.S.

Source: PerisStats-March of Dimes
FBR Model Overview

• In 2006, DCF invited two university programs to partner in this initiative:
  – Johns Hopkins University – contingency management substance abuse treatment (Reinforcement-Based Treatment; RBT)
  – Yale Child Study Center – attachment-based parent-child therapeutic approach (Coordinated Intervention for Women and Infants; CIWI)
• Family-Based Recovery (FBR) was designed as a home-based intervention, that merged these models of parenting support and substance abuse treatment

FBR Mission

The mission of FBR is

1) to ensure that children develop optimally in drug-free, safe and stable homes with their parent/s

2) to develop a replicable, evidence-based, in-home practice model

Family-Based Recovery

• DCF contracted with 6 providers
• Yale Child Study Center – provides QA
• DCF developed a MOA with the University CT Health Center for independent evaluation
  – Qualitative Analysis of FBR Implementation
  – Quantitative Analysis of maltreatment and placement outcomes (Matched Group Design)

FBR Clients

• A parent who is actively abusing substances and/or has a recent history of substance abuse (w/in 30 days)
• A child who is:
  - under the age of 36 months
  - resides with the index parent at the time of referral, or
  - in foster care with a plan for imminent reunification
  - at risk for removal from parental custody
FBR Team

FBR Teams are composed of:
- 2 Full-Time Master’s level clinicians
- 1 Full-Time Bachelor’s level Family Support Specialist
- A Half-Time Supervisor
- A Part-Time Psychiatrist

FBR Team: Caseload Structure

- An FBR team’s caseload is twelve families
- Each clinician provides:
  - Parent-child-related interventions to 6 families
  - Caregiver sobriety-related interventions to 6 families
- The Family Support Specialist works with all 12 families

FBR Key Constructs

- Attachment critical for healthy development
- Substance abuse treatment works
- Risk management for stability and permanence

The FBR Way

FBR is more than a treatment for parents who are using substances: it is a way of engaging, treating and being with a client and his/her children. The FBR approach incorporates good clinical skills, motivational interviewing techniques with lessons learned about home-based work.
Complex Families

FBR families:
- Often come to parenting with legacy of childhood emotional neglect and abuse, loss, abandonment
- Problematic relationships in adulthood
- Emotion regulation more challenging with neglect/abuse hx, and for those modulating emotion with substance use

Infant Mental Health Approach

FBR uses an Infant Mental Health approach:
- Encourages parent to identify and explore feelings re parenting
- Focuses on the infant’s feelings: “speaking for the baby”
- Focuses parent on the needs of the child
- Links past with current caregiving experiences

Emotion Regulation

• Parenting that requires emotion regulation can easily overwhelm/be a source of disconnection
• Goal: “Overriding” first response of anger or hopelessness, and reflecting on what is going on with this child at this moment
• FBR listens, observes, reflects with parents, contains the moment
Infant Mental Health and Attachment

Infant Mental Health: the developing capacity of the very young child to experience, regulate and express emotion; form close, secure interpersonal relationships; explore and learn—all in the context of family, community and cultural expectations.

Attachment

- A young child’s relationship with the primary caregiver is key to healthy development in socio-emotional, cognitive and health domains
- Parents’ perceptions of being parented affect how they parent and how they see their child

Attachment-based Work

- Fosters change in maladaptive attachment relationships
- Targets Internal Working Model of the relationship for both parent and child

Competent Parents, Competent Babies

- We use the opportunity of a baby to help parents resolve issues with early caregivers (“Ghosts in the Nursery”) that are interfering with the capacity to parent and establish secure attachments
- Our task: to help parents feel competent and be a “secure base” from which their children can explore the world; for babies to feel understood and safe in their parents’ care
Reflective Functioning

- RF: seeing from the child's perspective, or being able to make sense of the child's behavior, emotion, feelings
- FBR uses natural parent-child interaction as opportunity for intervention: moment of anticipating/understanding a need; moment of shared delight or when parent can soothe child; staying present with child despite stress

Techniques to enhance RF:
- Helping parent identify what emotions are baby's and what are parent's
- Helping parent see baby as separate being, developing with age-appropriate behaviors and needs
- Helping parent feel her/his unique importance to this child

Parent-Child Measures

- Measures that inform and guide the parent-child work are:
  - Parent Stress Inventory – Short Form
  - Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale
  - Postpartum Bonding Questionnaire
  - Genogram
  - Ages and Stages (ASQ and ASQ-Social Emotional) Questionnaires

Substance Abuse Treatment
Reinforcement-based Treatment

• Reinforcement-based Treatment (RBT) is an evidence-based behavioral approach to substance abuse treatment.

• RBT incorporates:
  – Community Reinforcement Approach
    (Budney & Higgins, 1998)
  – Motivational Interviewing
    (Miller & Rollnick, 1992)

FBR: Basic Principles

Positive reinforcement is the most effective means of producing behavior change.

– The best way to eliminate an individual’s drug use is to offer competing reinforcers that can take the place of drug use

– Competing reinforcers: People, Places and Things that can take the place of drug use

– FBR believes that the infant/child is the primary positive reinforcer

FBR Tools for Treating Substance Abuse

• Functional Assessments
• Contracts
• Graphs
• Feedback Report
• Drug Testing/Vouchers

Functional Assessment

The Functional Assessment (FA) is a clinical instrument that structures the gathering of information on a client’s drug use at intake and after each relapse. Information is organized into categories:

– Internal and external triggers
– Behavior (route of use, amount)
– Short-term positive consequences
– Consequences
Contracts are used throughout treatment

- Early on in treatment as an agreement to “sample” abstinence
  - Sobriety Sampling Contract
- Whenever there is a need to emphasize a behavioral goal: “critical time points”
- Clients might “break the contract” and use, but hope contract will make the individual stop and ponder this choice

A clinical tool that:

- Makes abstinence and abstinence-related goals salient to the client
- Helps clients understand the ongoing relationship between substitution behaviors and abstinence
- Provides a concrete way for the clinician to reinforce (both socially and tangibly) progress towards goals
- Helps clinician predict relapses

Feedback is a technique that has been shown effective in getting clients to think about change. The Feedback Report:

- Pulls together the information the client has provided during the assessment phase
- Provides information tailored to the individual
- Provides SAMHSA data on client’s drug(s) of choice
- Offers alternatives to drug use
- Organizes the arguments for change
Social Club

A weekly group for clients and their children during which the clients:
• Receive peer and staff acknowledgement (reinforcement) and support for parenting and abstinence
• Practice interacting with other non-drug using parents in a non-drug environment
• Provide some continuity after graduation from FBR

Drug Testing

• The team conducts substance abuse screening (urine and/or breathalyzer) at each home visit
• An 8-panel urine dip stick yields results in 5 minutes
• Clients receive a $10 gift card for each clean screen during the first part of treatment
• Clients can earn up to $720

Social Club

• Whatever the topic or activity, a goal of Social Club is for the conversation to ultimately link to issues of parenting and/or recovery
• It is the role of FBR staff to link the group topic/activity to parenting and/or substance use
• As the group process evolves and membership stabilizes this time will generally be client-led

FBR Services

• FBR Services provides:
  – Core training to all new staff members
  – Weekly 1 hour consultation with each site
  – Weekly ½ hour consultation with each supervisor
  – Quarterly network meetings/trainings
  – Quarterly QA reports to sites and DCF
  – Annual credentialing reports
Quality Assurance Goals

- Ensure accurate and timely data collection
- Monitor caseloads
- Monitor adherence to clinical services inherent to FBR model (e.g., FBR Tools and Measures)
- Examine results of clinical measures and urine toxicology screens
- Summarize all of the above in quarterly reports for providers and DCF
  - One network (aggregated) report
  - Six site-specific reports on programmatic adherence and clinical outcomes

Case and Caregiver Characteristics

- Across all six regional providers to date, 389 cases served (830 clients); about 20-25 new intakes per quarter
- 84% of families served are headed by single mothers
- Average cash household income: $679/month
  - Non-cash: 72% Medicaid, 68% WIC, 68% food stamps
- Average maternal age: 27.1 years (s.d. = 5.7 years)
- Maternal Race/Ethnicity:
  - 51% Caucasian; 30% African-American; 15% Hispanic/Latina
- Marital Status: 74% Single, never married
- Educational Attainment: 70% HS diploma/GED or less

Sources of Cash Income

![Bar chart showing sources of cash income](chart)

- Employment: 24%, Unemployment: 6%, TANF: 9%, SSI: 39%, Retirement: 6%
- Foster Care: 6%, Temporary Aid: 10%, Antipoverty Cash: 9%
- Temporary Assistance: 10%, Cash Support: 9%, Other: 7%
- Medicaid: 32%, Housing Subsidy: 24%, SSI: 68%, Foodstamps: 69%
- Other: 3%

Sources of Non-Cash Income

![Bar chart showing sources of non-cash income](chart)

- Medicaid: 72%, Housing Subsidy: 24%, WIC: 68%, Foodstamps: 69%
- Other: 3%

Maternal Risk Factors

Child Characteristics

Mother’s Substance Use During Pregnancy

Paternal Risk Factors
### Child’s Risk Factors

- **Gestational Age**: Mean of 37.8 weeks (s.d. = 2.9 wks)
  - Average gestation is 40 weeks
  - Births at less than 37 weeks are considered “preterm”
  - Nationally, about 12% of all births are preterm
- **Birth weight**: Mean of 6.4 pounds (s.d. = 1.3 lbs)
  - Babies weighing less than 5 lbs. 8 oz. at birth are considered “low birth weight”
  - National average birth weight is about 7 lbs. 8 oz.
  - About 8% of all births are considered low birth weight

### Length of Stay

**Median Length of Stay = 6.28 months**

### Urine Toxicology Screen Results

#### Percentage of Clean Caregiver Toxicology Screens by Week in FBR Program (23,871 total tox screens)

- In Q3FY2011, there were 1,363 screens, 337 (25%) of which were positive for one or more substances.
- Among all 337 positive screens:
  - 64% were for marijuana
  - 15% prescription drugs
  - 10% PCP
  - 7% opiates
  - 7% cocaine
Clinical Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Pre-Test Score</th>
<th>Post-Test Score</th>
<th>T-Score and Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Edinburgh Depression Scale</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>5.57 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parenting Stress Index-Short Form</td>
<td>179</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Score</td>
<td>68.85</td>
<td>60.85</td>
<td>5.14 **</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parenting Distress</td>
<td>20.68</td>
<td>12.13</td>
<td>6.03 **</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent-Child Dysfunctional Interaction</td>
<td>18.96</td>
<td>16.87</td>
<td>4.37 **</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficult Child</td>
<td>10.17</td>
<td>9.13</td>
<td>1.49 NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental Bonding Questionnaire</td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Score</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>3.22 **</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired Bonding</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>2.68 **</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rejection-Anger</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>2.63 NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety-Care</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>3.80 **</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk of Abuse</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.48 NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Placement of Index Child

Child Placement at Discharge (Program to Date)

- 5% with Bio Parent
- 10% Foster Care
- 5% Institutional Placement
- 70% in Bio Parent's Home

Summary of QA Findings

- **Children remain in their homes:**
  - **FBR Result:** Among a high-risk sample of substance abusing parents, 84% of children remain in their homes at discharge

- **Parents reduce substance abusing behaviors:**
  - **FBR Result:** 50% positive urine screens at Week 1; 17% positive screens at Week 15

- **Parents address other clinical symptoms:**
  - **FBR Result:** Statistically significant, positive changes on measures of parenting stress, bonding to infant, and depression
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